



Editor UKC <editorukc@googlemail.com>

ANG009.1 ELISH ANGIOLINI QC- URGENT- FOR THE EDITOR'S ATTENTION

Editor UK Column <editor@ukcolumn.org>

Mon, Feb 8, 2010 at 2:10 PM

To: Callum Anderson <canderson@lemac.co.uk>

Dear Sirs

Thank you for your email below asking for confirmation of the receipt of messages left by you on Saturday 6 February 2010. My colleague Michael was distressed and upset to receive a rude and aggressive telephone call from a Mr Callum Anderson at 1245 today Monday 8 January 2010, demanding to speak to the Editor of the UKColumn. Michael felt intimidated by the nature of this call, which I understand was from your office. You will appreciate that since we are ordinary people working as unpaid volunteers to inform the general public of very serious issues adversely affecting honesty, morality and common decency in our society, we are unfortunately unable to operate a 24 hour 7 day per week office system as do wealthy profit making companies, hence the delay in responding to you.

You will also appreciate that the shocking case of the sexual abuse of a young vulnerable Down's Syndrome girl, Hollie Greig, is of immense public interest in UK and world-wide, and the general public has a right to know the facts surrounding the abuse of Hollie and the failure of the system of law to provide justice for her. The case would now appear to include the murder of Robert David Greig. The subjects of paedophilia and murder are overwhelmingly in the public interest

I note that you claim to act on behalf of Elish Angiolini QC, the Lord Advocate for Scotland. Will you please state whether this is in her official capacity and office, or in her capacity as a private individual.

Your letter to me makes several accusations. Normally, as for any citizen, it would be a reasonable response to take affront at such accusations. However, as you are acting on behalf of a third party, a more pragmatic approach is indicated. In your letter to me you say that: We refer you to the judgement in *Godfrey v Demon Internet Limited* [1999] 4 All ER 342. (Morland J)

"In my judgment the defamatory posting was published by the defendants and, as from 17 January 1997 they knew of the defamatory content of the posting, they cannot avail themselves of the protection provided by section 1 of the Defamation Act 1996 and their defence under section 1 is, in law, hopeless. Therefore the plaintiff's summons to strike out succeeds."

You intimate that I have no prospect of mounting a successful defence. Unfortunately, without having what your client thinks is "evidence", it is impossible to verify the statement.

For you to have made the statements that you did, it is a reasonable assumption that, for each accusation by your client, you shall first have been provided with, and verified, all supporting information and objective evidence. It is also reasonable to assume that where the content of published material is challenged by your client, you will have received the proofs clearly demonstrating any alleged inaccuracies. You are therefore respectfully invited to pass on this

information to me.

I look forward to the imminent receipt of all the substantive documents, proofs and supporting objective evidence so that we can work together to achieve an amicable resolution.

Yours sincerely

Editor UKColumn

On Mon, 08 Feb 2010 11:24:30 -0000, Callum Anderson <canderson@lemac.co.uk> wrote:

Dear Sirs

We have not heard from you following the email below sent on Saturday 6th February nor have we received a response to the telephone voicemail message left for you on Saturday 6th February. The defamatory article and video footage in question remains available on your website.

Please confirm receipt of the email below by return.

Yours faithfully

Callum Anderson

From: Callum Anderson [mailto:canderson@lemac.co.uk]
Sent: 06 February 2010 13:24
To: 'editor@ukcolumn.org'
Cc: 'peterwatson@lemac.co.uk'
Subject: ANG009.1 ELISH ANGIOLINI QC- URGENT- FOR THE EDITOR'S ATTENTION
Importance: High

<<http://www.lemac.co.uk>> Levy and Mcrae

[Quoted text hidden]

Office locations

<file:///C:/Program%20Files/Common%20Files/Microsoft%20Shared/Stationery/offices.gif>

Levy & McRae, 266 St Vincent Street, Glasgow, G2 5RL

Tel:

0141 307 2311

DX

GW 149

Fax -

Litigation 0141 307 6857 & 0141 307 6858

Commercial Property: 0141 248 9262

Media: 0141 307 1005

Web:

www.lemac.co.uk

image003

image001

LegalnetLink

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This email contains proprietary information some or all of which may be legally privileged. It is for the intended recipient only. If an addressing or transmission error has misdirected this email, please notify the author by replying to this email. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, distribute, copy, print or rely on this email. In addition you must erase all information contained in this email immediately after notifying the author. No liability is accepted for viruses and it is your responsibility to scan attachments (if any). Unless related to the business of the firm, the opinions expressed within this email are the opinions of the sender and do not necessarily constitute those of Messrs Levy & McRae, Solicitors. A list of the firm's Partners is maintained and may be inspected at 266 St Vincent Street, Glasgow, G2 5RL.

--

[Quoted text hidden]
